



WASHINGTON, DC 200078696

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 9792 09/357,841 07/21/1999 MOTOHIDE OTSUBO 017344-0299 **EXAMINER** 08/30/2004 7590 SCHLAIFER, JONATHAN D FOLEY & LARDNER 3000 K ST NW ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SUITE 500 P O BOX 25696 2178

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Advisory Action Comparison	o a n in ntinued ater. In no PEP nsion fee in fee under
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Co	o a n in ntinued ater. In no PEP nsion fee in fee under
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Co	o a n in ntinued ater. In no PEP nsion fee in fee under
THE REPLY FILED 27 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Co	o a n in ntinued ater. In no PEP nsion fee in fee under
Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Co	n in ntinued ater. In no PEP nsion fee n fee under
	PEP nsion fee n fee under
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	PEP nsion fee n fee under
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See M 	nsion fee n fee under
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL RESECTION. See M. 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	reduce any
1 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	
(c)	lifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	·
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed an canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	rendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT proposed application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.	lace the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ewly
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u>	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	HONG
BUINVHA EV	**************************************

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 009/357,841

Application No.

*Continuation of 2. NOTE: The amendments would require further search because they introduce new issues into the claims...

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The alleged deficiencies in Catapult, Inc. and Jaakola are not, in the opinion of the Examiner, valid, and the Examiner cites the Office Action for evidence pointing to the validity of these pieces of art for rejection of the claims..